UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,616	11/27/2001	Kazuhiro Akutsu	1075.1183	8236
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 06/29/201 SEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DIZ ANZENITIE NINV	PRESTON, JOHN O		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision			
from Pre-Appeal Brief			
Review			

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent und Reexamination	er
09/993,616	AKUTSU ET AL. Art Unit	
JOHN O. PRESTON	3691	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 10 May 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper an reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other:	view is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run freshe mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because this required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whiche appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the claum(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9, 11-19, and 26-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rerapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been haction will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) <u>JOHN O. PRESTON</u> . (2)	(3) <u>Vincent Millin/vm/</u> <u>Appeals Conference Specialist</u> .
/ John O Proston/	(4)
/John O Preston/	

Examiner, Art Unit 3691